Decision No: CMM010 – 03/11/11

Forward Plan No: N/A This record relates to Agenda Item 51 on the agenda for the Decision-Making

# **RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION**

**DECISION-MAKER:** 

COUNCILLOR AMY KENNEDY

PORTFOLIO AREA:

PLANNING, EMPLOYMENT, ECONOMY & REGENERATION

SUBJECT:

CITY EMPLOYMENT & SKILLS PLAN & ACTION PLAN 2011-14

AUTHOR:

CHERYL FINELLA

THE DECISION

- 2.1 That the Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy & Regeneration notes the content of the report and endorses the City Employment & Skills Plan 2011-14 and its priorities and action plan.
- 2.2 That the City Employment & Skills Plan 2011-14, as a component of the council's Policy Framework, be reported to the Culture, Tourism & Enterprise Overview & Scrutiny Committee for information, and referred to full Council for endorsement.

## **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

The CESP draws on the latest data sets and policy information to identify the needs, opportunities and challenges for the city. Key to addressing these issues is the ability of individual members within the CESP to use existing resources towards the agreed priorities.

The role of the Local Authority in helping to guide the work; its role as an Education Authority responsible for adult and young people services; its role in supporting those facing disadvantage in the labour market and in driving economic development means that it is uniquely placed to assist in driving this agenda.

The endorsement of the Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy and Regeneration is therefore considered vital in ensuring that the council is able to make a meaningful contribution to this agenda.

## DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The option of not developing a plan was discussed by the CESSG and it was agreed that due to the prevailing policy and socio-economic conditions a 'do nothing' strategy would have a negative impact on the city and would be likely to result in a

more disjointed and therefore costly approach as each organisation takes forward individual strategies to tackle related issues.

## OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION

An additional Recommendation was added to reflect the fact the CESP was a Policy Framework document and must therefore be considered by the full Council.

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

#### CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

#### Date:

## **Decision Maker:**

03 November 2011

Councillor Amy Kennedy Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy & Regeneration **Signed:** 

Kenned

## **Proper Officer:**

03 November 2011

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services **Signed**:

## SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny 'Call-In' provisions.

**Call-In Period** 4-10 November 2011 **Date of Call-in** *(if applicable) (this suspends implementation)* 

Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)

Call-in heard by (if applicable)

Decision No: CMM011 – 03/11/11

Forward Plan No: N/A This record relates to Agenda Item 52 on the agenda for the Decision-Making

# **RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION**

DECISION-MAKER:

COUNCILLOR AMY KENNEDY

PORTFOLIO AREA:

PLANNING, EMPLOYMENT, ECONOMY & REGENERATION

SUBJECT:

APPLICATION FOR THE INTERREG IVA CALLED 'SUPPORTING YOUNG AND UNEMPLOYED PEOPLE IN PORT CITIES'

## AUTHOR:

CHERYL FINELLA

#### THE DECISION

- 2.1 That the Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy & Regeneration endorses the proposed city councils continuing participation in the Interreg 1Va 'Supporting Young and Unemployed people in Port Cities' application.
- 2.2 That the Strategic Director, Place be given delegated authority to sign the final project agreement, should the application be successful.

## **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

The continuing participation in this proposal is recommended for approval as; if successful it will provide valuable additional resources with which to support the work underway to redevelop the Shoreham Port Regeneration area. The project will bring jobs, new areas of curriculum linked to growth sectors and greater levels of employer engagement.

## DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Option one is to withdraw from the project and not continue with the activity proposed above. The impact of not taking forward the city council element of this project may mean that the City College will have to revise its planned programme of activity as some of it is predicated on having access to employers (through the Charter) to engage in curriculum development, work placement and knowledge exchange. It may also materially damage the city council's reputation as a future partner for regeneration projects as the remaining partners would have to revise the whole scheme.

Option two would also focus on a withdrawal from the scheme and an alternative focus on what can be achieved in this area with limited resources. While it may be possible to engage businesses in this project without the draw down of EU funds there are many elements that will be lost. For example the refit of dedicated premises on the Port; the development and role out of the Employers Charter and the 'Meet the Buyer' events could not be delivered with available budgets.

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION None

#### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST None.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

Date:

03 November 2011

#### **Decision Maker:**

Councillor Amy Kennedy Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy & Regeneration **Signed:** 

MALAnne

## Proper Officer:

03 November 2011

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services **Signed**:

SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny 'Call-In' provisions.

**Call-In Period** 4-10 November 2011 **Date of Call-in** *(if applicable) (this suspends implementation)* 

Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)

Call-in heard by (if applicable)

Decision No: CMM012 – 03/11/11 Publication Date Forward Plan No: N/A This record relates to Agenda Item 55 on the agenda for the Decision-Making RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION **DECISION-MAKER:** COUNCILLOR AMY KENNEDY PORTFOLIO AREA: PLANNING, EMPLOYMENT, ECONOMY & REGENERATION SUBJECT: GOVERNMENT CONSULTATION ON PROPOSED REPLACEMENT PLANNING GUIDANCE FOR PLANNING FOR GYPSIES, TRAVELLERS AND TRAVELLING SHOWPEOPLE

## AUTHOR:

SANDRA ROGERS

## THE DECISION

2.1 That the Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy & Regeneration approves and endorses the council's response to the Government's consultation on Proposed Replacement Planning Guidance 'Planning for Traveller Sites' (see Appendix A).

## REASON FOR THE DECISION

To gain formal approval and endorsement of the council's response to the Government consultation on proposed replacement policy guidance for planning for Gypsy and Traveller Sites. The response has been sent in order to meet the consultation deadline of 3 August 2011. This was on the understanding that formal approval would be sought at Cabinet Member Meeting.

## DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The government has published an Impact Assessment (Annex B of the Consultation Document) which sets out the likely costs and benefits of policy options considered. In preparing the proposed new policy statement, three policy options were considered: 1) Do nothing, 2) withdraw the circulars and 3) withdraw circulars and replace with a new single Planning Policy Statement. Option 3 is preferred.

#### OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION None

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD: We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

Date:

03 November 2011

**Decision Maker:** 

Councillor Amy Kennedy Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy & Regeneration **Signed:** 

Withenell

**Proper Officer:** 

03 November 2011

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services **Signed**:

SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny 'Call-In' provisions.

Call-In Period 4-10 November 2011 Date of Call-in (*if applicable*) (*this suspends implementation*)

Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)

Call-in heard by (if applicable)

**Results of Call-in** *(if applicable)* 

Decision No: CMM013 – 03/11/11

**Publication Date** 

Forward Plan No: N/A This record relates to Agenda Item 56 on the agenda for the Decision-Making

# **RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION**

DECISION-MAKER:COUNCILLOR AMY KENNEDYPORTFOLIO AREA:PLANNING, EMPLOYMENT, ECONOMY<br/>& REGENERATIONSUBJECT:LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK<br/>CITY WIDE PLAN - UPDATED<br/>BACKGROUND STUDIESAUTHOR:CARLY DOCKERILL

#### THE DECISION

2.1 That the Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy & Regeneration approves the Brighton and Hove Retail Study Update – September 2011 and the Housing Requirements Study – June 2011 as supporting evidence for the City Plan and other Local Development Framework documents.

#### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

To gain formal approval of the two background studies that form part of the evidence base for the City Plan and other Development Plan Documents and council strategies. It also allows the final documents to go into the public domain to inform planning decisions.

#### DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The Studies are required by Government planning guidance requiring plans to be supported by a sound evidence base. Indeed if the final background studies are not approved the interim versions will remain in the public domain. The latest information may not therefore be taken into consideration in planning matters. Without formal approval of the background studies the evidence base to the City Wide Plan may be considered unsound.

#### OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION None

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST None.

#### CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

## Date:

03 November 2011

## **Decision Maker:**

Councillor Amy Kennedy Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy & Regeneration **Signed:** 

Proper Officer:

03 November 2011

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services **Signed:** 



SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny 'Call-In' provisions.

Call-In Period 4-10 November 2011 Date of Call-in (if applicable) (this suspends implementation)

Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)

Call-in heard by (if applicable)

Decision No: CMM014 – 03/11/11 Publication Date
Forward Plan No: N/A
This record relates to Agenda Item 57 on the agenda for the
Decision-Making

RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION

DECISION-MAKER: COUNCILLOR AMY KENNEDY

PORTFOLIO AREA:

PLANNING, EMPLOYMENT, ECONOMY & REGENERATION

SUBJECT:

COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY

AUTHOR:

MIKE HOLFORD

## THE DECISION

2.1 That the Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy & Regeneration approves the production of a Community infrastructure Levy charging schedule and that this be brought forward for consultation alongside the production of the City Plan with a view to adopting the charging schedule as council policy.

## **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

In the absence of CIL, the amount of money that would be received from development in the City would be restricted to mitigating the direct impact through developer contributions (S.106).

## DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

CIL is not obligatory so an alternative would be not to produce one for the City.

## OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION None

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

## CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

## Date:

03 November 2011

## **Decision Maker:**

Councillor Amy Kennedy Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy & Regeneration **Signed:** 

Proper Officer:

03 November 2011

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services **Signed:** 



SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny 'Call-In' provisions.

Call-In Period 4-10 November 2011 Date of Call-in (if applicable) (this suspends implementation)

Call-in Procedure completed (if applicable)

Call-in heard by (if applicable)

Decision No: CMM015 – 03/11/11

Publication Date Forward Plan No: N/A This record relates to Agenda Item 58 on the agenda for the **Decision-Making** 

# RECORD OF CABINET MEMBER DECISION

**DECISION-MAKER:** 

COUNCILLOR AMY KENNEDY

PORTFOLIO AREA:

PLANNING, EMPLOYMENT, ECONOMY & REGENERATION

SUBJECT:

DRAFT SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT DESIGN GUIDE FOR ALTERATIONS AND EXTENSION

## AUTHOR:

CLAIRE BURNETT

## THE DECISION

2.1 That the Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy & Regeneration approves the draft 'Design Guide for Extensions and Alterations' as a Supplementary Planning Document for the purposes of formal public consultation.

## **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

The next stage of producing an SPD requires formal public consultation on draft proposals and it is considered that such a draft should be subject to Cabinet Member approval.

## DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

Alternative options were evaluated as part of the Sustainability Appraisal, including an option would have relied on primary policy and Government guidance only. The option of producing an SPD was considered to be the most effective and sustainable option. This approach was also supported by the initial consultation.

## OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS CONCERNING THE DECISION None

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

## CONFIRMED AS A TRUE RECORD:

We certify that the decision this document records was made in accordance with the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000 and is a true and accurate record of that decision

Date:

03 November 2011

#### **Decision Maker:**

Councillor Amy Kennedy Cabinet Member for Planning, Employment, Economy & Regeneration **Signed:** 

(MKennel p

**Proper Officer:** 

03 November 2011

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services **Signed**:

SCRUTINY

Note: This decision will come in to force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of publication subject to any review under the Council's Scrutiny 'Call-In' provisions.

**Call-In Period** 4-10 November 2011 **Date of Call-in** *(if applicable) (this suspends implementation)* 

**Call-in Procedure completed** (*if applicable*)

Call-in heard by (if applicable)